

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/618,033	07/11/2003	Yaron Keidar	50572/AW/W112	4112		
23363	7590 08/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, I PO BOX 7068	PARKER & HALE, LLP	NEAL, TIMOTHY J				
	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			3731			

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		A	Application No. Applicant(s)		Applicant(s)	
		1	0/618,033		KEIDAR, YARON	
		E	xaminer		Art Unit	
		Т	imothy J. Neal		3731	
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appear	rs on the cover sheet	with the co	orrespondence add	ress
A SHORT WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST IS LONGER IN THE MOST IN THE MOST IS LONGER IN THE MOST IN THE MOST IS LONGER IN THE MOST IN THE	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, cau	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may pply and will expire SIX (6) M use the application to become	NICATION a reply be time ONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this con (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status						
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Sinc	ponsive to communication(s) file action is FINAL . te this application is in condition ed in accordance with the practic	2b)⊠ This ac for allowance	tion is non-final. except for formal ma			merits is
Disposition o	f Claims					
4a) € 5)	m(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the approximately of the above claim(s) 20-22 is/are m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) is/are subject to restrice appers specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are: icant may not request that any object accement drawing sheet(s) including toath or declaration is objected to	e withdrawn for election and/or election to the drawthe correction	ection requirement. ed or b)⊡ objected t wing(s) be held in abey is required if the drawir	rance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) <u> </u>	Certified copies of the priority	documents had documents had be priority of the priority on all Bureau (P	ave been received. ave been received in documents have bee CT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application	n No I in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)						
Notice of Roll Notice of Di	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or f)/Mail Date <u>see next page</u> .		Paper No			152)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Application No.

Information Disclosure Statements Date:

9/30/2005 4/19/2004 7/11/2003

TJN

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of Invention I in the reply filed on 7/27/2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-19 are drawn at the current election of Invention I and are evaluated on the merits below. Claims 20-22 are drawn to a non-elected invention and are not evaluated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Selmon et al. (U.S. 6,217,549) in view of Czernecki et al. (U.S. 2005/0222599) and Devos et al. (U.S. 6,099,511).

Selmon et al. discloses:

Application/Control Number: 10/618,033

Art Unit: 3731

Regarding **claim 1**, a device comprising: an elongated tubular body having an axis, a proximal end, a distal end and a lumen longitudinally extending therethrough (Fig. 3); and comprising a segmented surface that is generally transverse to the axis of the tubular body (Fig. 4), and a generally rigid tube extending distally from the segmented surface and having a sharp distal end (Fig.14A-D); wherein distal movement of the tubular body relative to the dilating tip exerts a force on the segmented surface to thereby open the segmented surface (Column 23, Lines 7-9).

Regarding claim 2, wherein the elongated tube is generally cylindrical (Fig. 9).

Regarding **claim 3**, where the segmented surface comprises two or more segments (Fig. 4).

Regarding **claim 4**, where the segmented surface comprises three or more segments (Fig. 4).

Regarding **claim 5**, wherein the dilating tip further comprises a ring mounted in surrounding relating to the tubular body (Fig. 11A).

Regarding **claim 6**, wherein the segmented surface comprises a plurality of segments, each of which is hingedly attached to the ring (Fig. 11A).

Regarding **claim 7**, wherein the dilating tip is generally funnel-shaped (Fig. 5 ltem 42).

Regarding claim 8, wherein the tube is segmented (Fig. 4).

Regarding claims **9-12**, wherein the tube has a length ranging from about 2 mm to about 6 mm or 3 mm to 5 mm and wherein the tube has an outer diameter ranging from about 0.6 mm to 1 mm or 0.7 mm to 0.8 mm (Column 14 Lines 44-54).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,033

Art Unit: 3731

Regarding **claim 13**, wherein the dilating tip comprises nitinol (Column 15 Line 14).

Regarding **claim 14**, further comprising a wire extending proximally from the dilating tip to near the proximal end of the tubular body to affect proximal movement of the dilating tip relative to the tubular body (Fig. 5).

Regarding **claim 15**, further comprising a slidable member on the proximal end of the tubular body, the slidable member being connected to the wire so that proximal movement of the slidable member pulls the wire and causes proximal movement of the dilating tip relative to the tubular body (Fig. 5).

Regarding **claim 16**, a latch for maintaining the position of the slidable member relative to the tubular body when the dilating tip is in an open arrangement (Fig. 6).

Selmon et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. Further regarding claim 1, Selmon et al. does not disclose a slidably mounted tip on the distal end of the tubular body. Czernecki et al. teaches a slidably mounted tip on the distal end of a tubular body (Fig. 1 Item 8 and Abstract). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Selmon's tubular body to include Czernecki's slidably mounted tip. Such a modification would be to provide variability in the depth of the puncture.

Regarding **claim 17**, Selmon at al. and Czernecki et al. disclose the apparatus discussed above. Selmon et al. and Czernecki et al. do not disclose a pressure valve at or near the proximal end of the tubular body. Devos et al. teaches a pressure valve at

Application/Control Number: 10/618,033

Art Unit: 3731

or near the proximal end of the tubular body (Fig. 1 Item 30). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Selmon and Czernecki's apparatus to include Devos's pressure valve. Such a modification would be to measure different pressures in a catheterized heart.

Regarding **claims 18 and 19**, the rejections stated above for the prior 17 claims incorporate the elements of claims 18 and 19; therefore, claims 18 and 19 are also rejected by the prior art as stated above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Neal whose telephone number is (571) 272-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,033 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TJN

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER